



# A&S Spring 2018 Instructor Report for SP2018.L32.Pol Sci.4043.01 - Public Policy Analysis, Assessment and Practical Wisdom (David Miller)

Project Title: **A&S Spring 2018 Course Evaluations**  
Project Audience: **42**  
Responses Received: **20**  
Response Ratio: **47.62%**

---

## Report Comments

Welcome to your Instructor Report for WashU Course Evaluations. Below you will find response data from your specified course section. Responses to personalized questions appear at the bottom of the report.

The intention of this report is to provide feedback, and also to prompt improvement in areas that may be lacking. This report is accessible to appropriate department level and school level users, as determined by your school. We appreciate your dedication to our learning community at Washington University.

If you have questions about this report, please contact [evals@wustl.edu](mailto:evals@wustl.edu)

Reports will not be generated for course sections with no responses.


---

Creation Date: **Fri, Jun 08, 2018**


# AI Evaluation for David Miller

## Instruction


Question	Score			
	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Material was presented clearly	4.25	4.00	12	0.62
Questions were answered clearly and concisely	4.31	4.00	16	0.70
Material was presented at an appropriate pace	4.09	4.00	11	0.94
The AI was well prepared for section	4.29	4.00	14	0.73
The AI used time well	4.33	4.50	12	0.78
The AI effectively led the section	4.23	4.00	13	0.73
Topics were effectively related to the course lectures	4.38	4.00	13	0.65
Communicated at a level appropriate for the class	4.36	4.00	14	0.63




1. Material was presented clearly




2. Questions were answered clearly and concisely




3. Material was presented at an appropriate pace




4. The AI was well prepared for section




5. The AI used time well




6. The AI effectively led the section



7. Topics were effectively related to the course lectures




8. Communicated at a level appropriate for the class




Interaction with Students

Question	Score			
	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Expectations were clearly explained	4.07	4.00	14	0.92
Grading procedures were fair	4.50	5.00	18	0.62
AI was concerned for students	4.00	4.00	15	0.93
AI was available for consultation outside of section	4.33	4.00	15	0.62
AI maintained positive environment in section	4.19	4.00	16	0.91


1. Expectations were clearly explained




2. Grading procedures were fair




3. AI was concerned for students




4. AI was available for consultation outside of section




5. AI maintained positive environment in section




1. Expectations were clearly explained




2. Grading procedures were fair




3. AI was concerned for students



4. AI was available for consultation outside of section



5. AI maintained positive environment in section



Please provide any additional feedback on **David Miller** that was not covered by the previous questions.

Comments

Thanks David

Very organized. good and hard working TA