MILLER

University of Rhode Island

Political Science & Government 6066 W 1:00 Fall 2014 Miller, David L. PSC 113 R05

Course Number: 6066

Fall 2014



IDEA Diagnostic Form Report

To learn more, see the Interpretive Guide: www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticguide.pdf

Of the 25 students enrolled, 21 responded (84%). Feedback from individual classes is always useful to guide improvement efforts. Typically, multiple classes should be used for evaluation, using more classes when they are small (fewer than 10) or when they have low response rates (less than 60%) (see www.theideacenter.org/AdminDecisions).

Summary Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness

Teaching effectiveness is assessed in two ways: A. Progress on Relevant Objectives, a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted) and B. Overall Ratings, the average student agreement with statements that the teacher and the course were excellent. The SUMMARY EVALUATION is the average of these two measures. Individual institutions may prefer to combine these measures in some other manner to arrive at a summary judgment.

Converted Averages are standardized scores that take into account the fact that the average ratings for items on the IDEA form are not equal; students report more progress on some objectives than on others. Converted scores all have the same average (50) and the same variability (a standard deviation of 10); about 40% of them will be between 45 and 55. Because measures are not perfectly reliable, it is best to regard the "true score" as lying within plus or minus 3 of the reported score.

For comparative purposes, use converted averages. Your converted averages are compared with those from all classes in the IDEA database. If enough classes are available, comparisons are also made with classes in the same broad *discipline* as this class and/or with all classes that used IDEA at your *institution*. The *Interpretive Guide* offers some suggestions for using comparative results; some institutions may prefer to establish their own "standards" based on raw or adjusted scores rather than on comparative standing.

Both <u>unadjusted</u> (raw) and <u>adjusted</u> averages are reported. The latter makes classes more comparable by considering factors that influence student ratings, yet are beyond the instructor's control. Scores are adjusted to take into account student desire to take the course regardless of who taught it (item 39), student work habits (item 43), instructor reported class size, and two multiple item measures (student effort not attributable to the instructor and course difficulty not attributable to the instructor).

Your Average Scores

7	Your Average (5-point scale)				
	Raw	Adj.			
A. Progress on Relevant Objectives Two objectives were selected as relevant (Important or Essential –see	3.8	3.7			
page 2)					
Overall Ratings					
B. Excellent Teacher	3.5	3.4			
C. Excellent Course	3.4	3.1			
D. Average of B & C	3.5	3.3			
	1	•			
Summary Evaluation (Average of A & D) 1	3.7	3.5			

¹ If you are comparing Progress on Relevant Objectives from one instructor to another, use the converted average.

Your Converted Average When Compared to All Classes in the IDEA Database

				Sumi	Summary						
Comparison Category	on Re	gress levant ctives		cellent cher		cellent		erage & C	Evaluation (Average o A & D)		
	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	
Much Higher Highest 10% (63 or higher)											
Higher Next 20% (56–62)											
Similar Middle 40% (45–55)	46										
Lower Next 20% (38–44)		43	39		41		40		43	40	
Much Lower Lowest 10% (37 or lower)		***************************************		37		37		37			

Your Converted Average When Compared to Your:2

Discipline (IDEA Data)	38	36	32	32	37	33	35	33	37	35
Institution	41	42	38	38	40	39	39	39	40	41

IDEA Discipline used for comparison:

Political Science & Government

²The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

Student Ratings of Learning on Relevant (Important and Essential) Objectives

Average unadjusted (raw) and adjusted progress ratings are shown below for those objectives you identified as "Important" or "Essential." Progress on Relevant Objectives (also shown on page 1) is a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted). The percent of students rating each as "1" or "2" (either "no" or "slight" progress) and as "4" or "5" ("substantial" or "exceptional" progress) is also reported These results should help you identify objectives where improvement efforts might best be focused. Page 3 contains suggestions about the types of changes you might consider to obtain more satisfactory results. Also, refer to the POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html)

	Importance Rating		verage nt scale)		ent of s Rating
		Raw	Adj.	1 or 2	4 or 5
21. Gaining factual knowledge (terminology, classifications, methods, trends)	Essential	3.8	3.7	10%	60%
22. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or theories	Essential	3.7	3.6	10%	50%
23. Learning to <i>apply</i> course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)	Minor/None				
24. Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course	Minor/None				
25. Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	Minor/None				
 Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing, performing in art, music, drama, etc.) 	Minor/None				
Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.)	Minor/None				
28. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	Minor/None				
29. Learning how to find and use resources for answering questions or solving problems	Minor/None				
30. Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to, personal values	Minor/None				
31. Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view	Minor/None				
32. Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my own questions and seeking answers	Minor/None				
Progress on Relevant Objectives		3.8	3.7		

¹ The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on
May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

			d Average V		
IDEA D	atabase	IDEA Di	aroup Avera	Your In:	stitution
Raw	Adjusted	Raw	Adjusted	Raw	Adjusted
46 Similar	43 Lower	38 Lower	36 Much Lower	41 Lower	42
45 Similar	42 Lower	37 Much Lower	36 Much Lower	40 Lower	41 Lower

46	43	38	36 es (63 or highe	41	42

= Next 20% (56-62) Higher

= Middle 40% (45-55) Similar

Lower = Next 20% (38-44) Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Description of Course and Students

Students described the course by rating three items related to "level of academic challenge." Results cannot be interpreted as "good" or "bad"; in general, these rating have a slight positive relationship with measures of academic achievement. The three items describing your students relate to their academic motivation and work habits and are key factors in developing adjusted ratings.

Course Description	Your Average (5-point scale)
33. Amount of reading	2.8
34. Amount of work in other (non-reading) assignments	3.1
35. Difficulty of subject matter	3.3
Student Description	
37. I worked harder on this course than on most courses I have taken.	3.3
39. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	3.6
43. As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work.	3.7

	Your Converted Average When Compared to Group Averages										
IDE	A Database	IDE	A Discipline	Your Institution							
45	Similar	43	Lower								
44	Lower	46	Similar	41	Lower						
48	Similar	44	Lower	46	Similar						

45	Similar	43	Lower	41	Lower
54	Similar	55	Similar	50	Similar
50	Similar	43	Lower	41	Lower

Much Higher = Highest 10% of classes (63 or higher)

Higher Similar = Next 20% (56-62) = Middle 40% (45-55)

= Next 20% (38-44)

Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Improving Teaching Effectiveness

One way to improve teaching effectiveness is to make more use of the teaching methods closely related to learning on specific objectives.

- > Review page 2 to identify the objective(s) where improvements are most desirable.
- Use the first column to answer the question, "Which of the 20 teaching methods are most related to learning on these objective(s)?"
- Review the next two columns to answer the question, "How did students rate my use of these important methods?"
- Read the last column to answer the question, "What changes should I consider in my teaching methods?"
- Beyond specific methods, do the results suggest a general area (e.g., Stimulating Student Interest) where improvement efforts should be focused?

Suggested Actions are based on comparisons with ratings for classes of similar size and level of student motivation. Consider increasing use means you employed the method less frequently than those teaching similar classes. Retain current use or consider increasing means you employed the method with typical frequency. Strength to retain means you employed the method more frequently than those teaching similar classes. More detailed suggestions are in the Interpretive Guide

(www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticguide.pdf), POD-IDEA Center N (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).	n those teaching similar classes Notes (<u>www.theideacenter.or</u>	s. More detailed sug rg/podidea), and P	gestions are in th	e Interpretive Guid er <i>Learning</i> Notes
Teaching Methods and Styles				
Stimulating Student Interest	Relevant to Objectives: (see page 2)	Your Average (5-point scale)	Percent of Students Rating 4 or 5	g Suggested Action
Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by most courses 15. Inspired students to certain action.	All selected objectives	3.6	57%	Consider increasing use
 Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really challenged them 	All selected objectives	3.3	33%	Consider
13. Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	All selected objectives	3.9	71%	Retain current use of
4. Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	All selected objectives	4.2	86%	consider increasing
ostering Student Collaboration				
5. Formed "teams" or "discussion groups" to facilitate learning	Not relevant to objectives selected	3.0	30%	
Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others whose backgrounds and viewpoints differ from their own	Not relevant to objectives selected	3.9	60%	
8. Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	Not relevant to objectives selected	3.6	52%	
stablishing Rapport				
2. Found ways to help students answer their own questions	All selected objectives	4.1	86%	Strength to retain
 Displayed a personal interest in students and their learning 	Not relevant to objectives selected	4.2	90%	
Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic performance	Not relevant to objectives selected	3.7	67%	
 Encouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (office visits, phone calls, e-mails, etc.) 	Not relevant to objectives selected	3.8	71%	
ncouraging Student Involvement				
 Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks, library holdings, outside experts) to improve understanding 	Not relevant to objectives selected	3.3	43%	
Related course material to real life situations	Not relevant to objectives selected	4.2	81%	
. Involved students in "hands on" projects such as research, case studies, or "real life" activities	Not relevant to objectives selected	3.6	60%	
. Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or creative thinking	Not relevant to objectives selected	3.8	67%	
ructuring Classroom Experiences				
2. Gave tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points of the course	All selected objectives	3.8	71%	Consider
Explained course material clearly and concisely	All selected objectives	4.1	76%	Retain current use or
. Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	All selected objectives	4.2	90%	consider increasing Strength to retain
Scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways which encouraged students to stay up-to-date in their work	Not relevant to objectives	4.0	67%	

selected Not relevant to objectives 4.0

4.1

67%

81%

selected 5-point Scale: 1 = Hardly Ever 2 = Occasionally 3 = Sometimes 4 = Frequently 5 = Almost Always

encouraged students to stay up-to-date in their work

students improve

17. Provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports, projects, etc. to help

Statistical Detail		Num	ber R	espon	ding			
	1	2	3	4	5	Omit	Avg.	s.d.
Displayed a personal interest in students and their learning	0	2	0	11	7	1	4.2	0.9
2. Found ways to help students answer their own questions	0	1	2	13	5	0	4.0	0.7
3. Scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways	0	2	5	6	8	0	4.0	1.0
4. Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	0	2	1	9	9	0	4.2	0.9
5. Formed "teams" or "discussion groups" to facilitate learning	1	6	7	4	2	1	3.0	1.1
6. Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	0	2	0	10	9	0	4.2	0.9
7. Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic	0	4	3	9	5	0	3.7	1.1
8. Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by	0	3	6	9	3	0	3.6	0.9
Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks,	1	2	9	7	2	0	3.3	1.0
10. Explained course material clearly and concisely	0	0	5	10	6	0	4.0	0.7
11. Related course material to real life situations	0	1	3	8	9	0	4.2	0.9
12. Gave tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points	1	2	3	9	6	0	3.8	1.1
13. Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	1	1	4	9	6	0	3.9	1.1
14. Involved students in "hands on" projects such as research, case	1	4	3	7	5	1	3.6	1.2
15. Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really	1	3	10	3	4	0	3.3	1.1
16. Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others	0	2	6	5	7	1	3.9	1.0
17. Provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports,	0	1	3	10	7	0	4.1	0.8
18. Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	1	2	7	6	5	0	3.6	1.1
19. Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or	0	2	5	10	4	0	3.8	0.9
20. Encouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (office	1	2	3	9	6	0	3.8	1.1
Key: 1 = Hardly Ever 2 = Occasionally 3 = Sometimes 4 = Fre	quently	5 =	Almo	st Alwa	ys			915

The details on this page are of interest primarily to those who want to confirm scores reported on pages 1–3 or who want to determine if responses to some items were distributed in an unusual manner.

Converted Averages are reported only for relevant learning objectives (Important or Essential –see page 2) and other items for which comparisons were provided.

Notes:

Discipline code selected on FIF: 4510 Discipline code used for comparison: 4510

							r	Convert	ted Avg.	Compa		
								Raw	Adj.	IDEA	Discipline	Institution
0	2	6	6	6	1	3.8	1.0	46	43	4.0	4.3	4.2
0	2	8	4	6	1	3.7	1.0	45	42	3.9	4.2	4.2
. 0	2	7	4	7	1	3.8	1.1	NA	NA	4.0	4.2	4.2
0	2	7	7	4	1	3.7	0.9	NA	NA	4.0	4.1	4.2
1 '	5	8	2	3	2	3.1	1.1	NA	NA	3.9	3.8	4.1
1	2	8	6	3	1	3.4	1.0	NA	NA	3.9	3.7	3.9
0	11	9	4	5	2	3.7	0.9	NA	NA	3.7	3.8	3.9
1	2	8	5	3	2	3.4	1.1	NA	NA	3.8	3.9	3.9
0	2	8	7	3	1	3.6	0.9	NA	NA	3.7	4.0	4.0
0	2	9	6	3	1	3.5	0.9	NA	NA	3.8	4.0	4.0
0	2	8	5	5	1	3.7	1.0	NA	NA	3.8	E	4.0
0	2	9	3	5	2	3.6	1.0	NA	NA	3.8		4.0
ogress	4 = Sul	ostantir	al progr	ress 5	= Exc	eptional p	rogress	Bold :	= Selected	as Importan	t or Essential	
							т			T		T
2	6	7	4	1	1	2.8	1.1	45	NA	3.2	3.7	3.3
0	5	10	4	1	1	3.1	0.8	44	NA	3.4	0.000	3.5
1	1	9	7	1	2	3.3	0.9	48	NA	3.4	3.6	3.5
verage	4 = N	lore th	an Mos	st 5 =	: Much	More tha	ın Most					
								1	т —	Т		T
1	2	9	3	5	1	3.5	1.1	NA	NA	3.7	3.6	3.9
en. 0	4	8	6	2	1	3.3	0.9	45	NA	3.6	3.6	3.8
1	2	12	2	2	2	3.1	0.9	NA	NA	3.4	3.6	3.6
0	2	9	5	4	1	3.6	0.9	54	NA	3.3	3.3	3.6
0	4	7	5	4	1	3.5	1.1	43	38	3.9	4.0	4.0
1	3	6	6	4	1	3.5	1.1	39	37	4.2	4.3	4.2
0	3	8	7	2	1	3.4	0.9	41	37	3.9	4.1	4.0
0	2	7	7	4	[1]	3.7	0.9	50	NA	3.6	3.9	3.9
	1 = More					efinitely Tr						
0	0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 2 0 2 1 5 1 2 0 1 1 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2	0 2 8 0 2 7 0 2 7 1 5 8 1 2 8 0 1 9 1 2 8 0 2 9 0 2 8 0 2 9 0 2 8 0 2 9 0 2 8 0 2 9 0 2 8 0 1 9 Average 4 = Substantia	0 2 8 4 0 2 7 4 0 2 7 7 1 5 8 2 1 2 8 6 0 1 9 4 1 2 8 5 0 2 8 7 0 2 9 6 0 2 8 5 0 2 9 3 ogress 4 = Substantial progr	0 2 8 4 6 6 0 2 7 4 7 0 2 7 7 4 1 5 8 2 3 1 2 8 6 3 0 1 9 4 5 1 2 8 5 3 0 2 9 6 3 0 2 9 6 3 0 2 9 6 3 5 0 2 9 3 5 0 0 2 9 3 5 0 0 2 9 3 5 0 0 2 9 3 5 0 0 2 9 3 5 0 0 2 9 3 5 0 0 2 9 3 5 0 0 2 9 3 5 0 0 2 9 5 1 0 4 1 1 1 9 7 1 1 0 0 5 10 4 1 1 1 9 7 1 1 0 0 5 10 4 1 1 1 1 9 7 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 8 4 6 1 0 2 7 4 7 1 0 2 7 7 4 1 1 5 8 2 3 2 1 2 8 6 3 1 0 1 9 4 5 2 1 2 8 5 3 2 1 2 8 5 3 2 1 2 8 5 3 2 1 0 2 8 7 3 1 0 2 9 6 3 1 0 2 9 6 3 1 0 2 9 6 3 1 0 2 9 3 5 2 0 2 8 5 5 1 0 2 9 3 5 2 0 2 9 3 5 1 2 4 More than Most 5 = Much 2 1 2 9 5 4 1 0 4 7 5 4 1 1 3 6 6 4 1 0 3 8 7 2 1	0 2 8 4 6 1 3.7 0 2 7 4 7 1 3.8 0 2 7 7 4 1 3.7 1 5 8 2 3 2 3.1 1 2 8 6 3 1 3.4 0 1 9 4 5 2 3.7 1 2 8 5 3 2 3.4 0 2 8 7 3 1 3.6 0 2 9 6 3 1 3.5 0 2 9 6 3 1 3.5 0 2 9 6 3 1 3.5 0 2 9 3 5 1 3.7 0 2 9 3 5 2 3.6 0 5 10 4 1 1 3.1 1 9 7 1 2 3.3 Average 4 = More than Most 5 = Much More than 2 6 7 4 1 1 2.8 0 5 10 4 1 1 3.1 1 9 7 1 2 3.3 Average 4 = More than Most 5 = Much More than 2 9 5 4 1 3.5 0 2 9 5 4 1 3.5 0 3 8 7 2 1 3.4	0	Raw 0	0	Raw Adj. IDEA Raw Adj. IDEA	Raw Adj. IDEA Discipline

No Additional Questions.

MILLER

University of Rhode Island

Political Science & Government 6072 T 2:00 Fall 2014 Miller, David L. PSC 113 R07

Course Number: 6072

Fall 2014



IDEA Diagnostic Form Report

To learn more, see the Interpretive Guide: www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticguide.pdf

Of the 24 students enrolled, 20 responded (83%). Feedback from individual classes is always useful to guide improvement efforts. Typically, multiple classes should be used for evaluation, using more classes when they are small (fewer than 10) or when they have low response rates (less than 60%) (see www.theideacenter.org/AdminDecisions).

Summary Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness

Teaching effectiveness is assessed in two ways: A. Progress on Relevant Objectives, a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted) and B. Overall Ratings, the average student agreement with statements that the teacher and the course were excellent. The SUMMARY EVALUATION is the average of these two measures. Individual institutions may prefer to combine these measures in some other manner to arrive at a summary judgment.

Converted Averages are standardized scores that take into account the fact that the average ratings for items on the IDEA form are not equal; students report more progress on some objectives than on others. Converted scores all have the same average (50) and the same variability (a standard deviation of 10); about 40% of them will be between 45 and 55. Because measures are not perfectly reliable, it is best to regard the "true score" as lying within plus or minus 3 of the reported score.

For comparative purposes, use converted averages. Your converted averages are compared with those from all classes in the IDEA database. If enough classes are available, comparisons are also made with classes in the same broad discipline as this class and/or with all classes that used IDEA at your institution. The Interpretive Guide offers some suggestions for using comparative results; some institutions may prefer to establish their own "standards" based on raw or adjusted scores rather than on comparative standing.

Both <u>unadjusted</u> (raw) and <u>adjusted</u> averages are reported. The latter makes classes more comparable by considering factors that influence student ratings, yet are beyond the instructor's control. Scores are adjusted to take into account student desire to take the course regardless of who taught it (item 39), student work habits (item 43), instructor reported class size, and two multiple item measures (student effort not attributable to the instructor and course difficulty not attributable to the instructor).

Your Average Scores

Ĭ.	Your A (5–point	-
	Raw	Adj.
A. Progress on Relevant . Objectives 1		
Three objectives were selected as		0.0
relevant (Important or Essential –see	3.9	3.8
page 2)		
Overall Ratings		
B. Excellent Teacher	4.0	3.9
C. Excellent Course	3.6	3.5
D. Average of B & C	3.8	3.7
Summary Evaluation (Average of A & D) 1	3.8	3.8

¹ If you are comparing Progress on Relevant Objectives from one instructor to another, use the converted average.

Your Converted Average When Compared to All Classes in the IDEA Database

				Overall Ratings						
Comparison Category	on Re	gress levant ctives	B. Excellent Teacher		C. Excellent Course		D. Average of B & C		Evaluation (Average of A & D)	
	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.
Much Higher Highest 10% (63 or higher)										
Higher Next 20% (56–62)					9.3					
Similar Middle 40% (45–55)	49	48	47	46	45		46	45	48	47
Lower Next 20% (38–44)						44				
Much Lower Lowest 10% (37 or lower)		valuation of the second						03.00		

Your Converted Average When Compared to Your:2

Discipline (IDEA Data)	43	42	43	43	42	40	43	42	43	42
Institution	44	47	47	47	44	46	46	47	45	47

IDEA Discipline used for comparison:

Political Science & Government

²The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

Student Ratings of Learning on Relevant (Important and Essential) Objectives

Average unadjusted (raw) and adjusted progress ratings are shown below for those objectives you identified as "Important" or "Essential." Progress on Relevant Objectives (also shown on page 1) is a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted). The percent of students rating each as "1" or "2" (either "no" or "slight" progress) and as "4" or "5" ("substantial" or "exceptional" progress) is also reported. These results should help you identify objectives where improvement efforts might best be focused. Page 3 contains suggestions about the types of changes you might consider to obtain more satisfactory results. Also, refer to the POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

	Importance Rating	Your A	-	Perce Student	
	g	Raw	Adj.	1 or 2	4 or 5
21. Gaining factual knowledge (terminology, classifications, methods, trends)	Essential	4.0	3.9	0%	68%
22. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or theories	Essential	3.8	3.7	0%	63%
23. Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)	Minor/None				
24. Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course	Minor/None				
25. Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	Minor/None				
Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing, performing in art, music, drama, etc.)	Minor/None				
 Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.) 	Minor/None				
28. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	Important	3.8	3.9	0%	68%
29. Learning how to find and use resources for answering questions or solving problems	Minor/None				
30. Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to, personal values	Minor/None				
31. Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view	Minor/None				
32. Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my own questions and seeking answers	Minor/None				
Progress on Relevant Objectives		3.9	3.8		

¹ The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on	
May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.	

			d Average V Group Avera		
IDEA D	atabase	IDEA Dis	scipline		stitution ¹
Raw	Adjusted	Raw	Adjusted	Raw	Adjusted
50	49	43	43	45	48
Similar	Similar	Lower	Lower	Similar	Similar
47	45	39	38	42	43
Similar	Similar	Lower	Lower	Lower	Lower
	and delated				
	-		i		
	and the same of th				
			-		i
V/					
		795700000000000000000000000000000000000			
51	52	49	50	48	52
Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	-				
		-			
49	48	43	42	44	47

Much Higher = Highest 10% of classes (63 or higher)

Higher = Next 20% (56-62) Similar = Middle 40% (45-55)

Lower = Next 20% (38–44)

Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Description of Course and Students

Students described the course by rating three items related to "level of academic challenge." Results cannot be interpreted as "good" or "bad"; in general, these ratings have a slight positive relationship with measures of academic achievement. The three items describing your students relate to their academic motivation and work habits and are key factors in developing adjusted ratings.

Your Average (5-point scale)
2.9
2.8
3.2

3.1
3.3
3.7

			erted Average to Group Ave				
IDE	A Database	IDE	A Discipline	Your Institution			
47	Similar	36	Much Lower	45	Similar		
39	Lower	40	Lower	35	Much Lower		
46	Similar	41	Lower	44	Lower		

41	Lower	38	Lower	36	Much Lower
50	Similar	51	Similar	45	Similar
53	Similar	46	Similar	44	Lower

Much Higher = Highest 10% of classes (63 or higher)

Higher = Next 20% (56–62) Similar = Middle 40% (45–55)

Lower = Next 20% (38–44)

Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Improving Teaching Effectiveness

One way to improve teaching effectiveness is to make more use of the teaching methods closely related to learning on specific objectives.

> Review page 2 to identify the objective(s) where improvements are most desirable.

5-point Scale: 1 = Hardly Ever 2 = Occasionally 3 = Sometimes 4 = Frequently

- > Use the first column to answer the question, "Which of the 20 teaching methods are most related to learning on these objective(s)?"
- > Review the next two columns to answer the question, "How did students rate my use of these important methods?"
- > Read the last column to answer the question, "What changes should I consider in my teaching methods?"
- > Beyond specific methods, do the results suggest a general area (e.g., Stimulating Student Interest) where improvement efforts should be focused?

Suggested Actions are based on comparisons with ratings for classes of similar size and level of student motivation. Consider increasing use means you employed the method less frequently than those teaching similar classes. Retain current use or consider increasing means you employed the method with typical frequency. Strength to retain means you employed the method more frequently than those teaching similar classes. More detailed suggestions are in the Interpretive Guide (www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticguide.pdf), POD-IDEA Center Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea), and POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

(www.tneideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).				
Teaching Methods and Styles				
Ctimulating Ctudant Internal	Relevant to Objectives: (see page 2)	Your Average (5-point scale)	Percent of Students Rating 4 or 5	Suggested Action
Stimulating Student Interest				Retain current use or
8. Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by most courses	All selected objectives	4.0	60%	consider increasing
13. Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	All selected objectives	4.3	80%	Retain current use or consider increasing
15. Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really challenged them	All selected objectives	3.7	55%	Retain current use or
Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	21, 22	4.5	85%	consider increasing Strength to retain
Fostering Student Collaboration	,			
18. Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	28	4.0	70%	Retain current use or consider increasing
16. Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others whose backgrounds and viewpoints differ from their own	28	4.3	75%	Strength to retain
5. Formed "teams" or "discussion groups" to facilitate learning	Not relevant to objectives selected	3.7	50%	
Establishing Rapport				
7. Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic performance	28	4.1	75%	Retain current use or consider increasing
2. Found ways to help students answer their own questions	All selected objectives	4.3	85%	Strength to retain
1. Displayed a personal interest in students and their learning	28	4.6	100%	Strength to retain
 Encouraged student–faculty interaction outside of class (office visits, phone calls, e–mails, etc.) 	Not relevant to objectives selected	4.1	65%	
Encouraging Student Involvement				
19. Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or creative thinking	28	4.1	65%	Retain current use or consider increasing
Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks, library holdings, outside experts) to improve understanding	Not relevant to objectives selected	4.0	75%	
11. Related course material to real life situations	Not relevant to objectives selected	4.7	100%	
14. Involved students in "hands on" projects such as research, case studies, or "real life" activities	Not relevant to objectives selected	3.5	50%	
Structuring Classroom Experiences				
6. Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	21, 22	4.5	90%	Strength to retain
10. Explained course material clearly and concisely	21, 22	4.5	90%	Strength to retain
12. Gave tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points of the course	21, 22	4.1	85%	Strength to retain
Scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways which encouraged students to stay up–to–date in their work	Not relevant to objectives selected	4.4	90%	
 Provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports, projects, etc. to help students improve 	Not relevant to objectives selected	4.4	85%	
	AND STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P			

5 = Almost Always

Desiration Detail		Num	ber Re	spon	ding			
Statistical Detail	1	2	3	4	5	Omit	Avg.	s.d.
Displayed a personal interest in students and their learning	0	0	0	9	11	0	4.6	0.5
2. Found ways to help students answer their own questions	0	0	3	8	9	0	4.3	0.7
3. Scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways	0	0	2	8	10	0	4.4	0.7
Scheduled course work (class activities, lesse, projects) in respect Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	0	0	3	4	13	0	4.5	8.0
4. Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject makes.	0	2	8	5	5	0	3.7	1.0
5. Formed "teams" or "discussion groups" to facilitate learning	0	0	2	6	12	0	4.5	0.7
6. Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	0	1	4	7	8	0	4.1	0.9
7. Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic	0	0	8	4	8	0	4.0	0.9
8. Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by	1	0	4	9	6	0	4.0	1.0
9. Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks,		0	2	6	12	0	4.5	0.7
10. Explained course material clearly and concisely	0	10.000	0	6	14	0	4.7	0.5
11. Related course material to real life situations	0	0	1 3		8	0	4.1	1.1
12. Gave tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points	1	1	1	9	200000	_	4.3	0.8
13. Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	0	0	4	6	10	0	6800	1.2
14. Involved students in "hands on" projects such as research, case	1	3	6	5	5	0	3.5	
15. Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really	1	1	7	5	6	0	3.7	1.1
16. Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others	0	0	5	4	11	0	4.3	0.9
17. Provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports,	0	1	2	6	11	0	4.4	0.9
18. Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	0	0	6	8	6	0	4.0	0.8
19. Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or	0	0	7	4	9	0	4.1	0.9
20. Encouraged student–faculty interaction outside of class (office	0	0	7	4	9	0	4.1	0.9
Key: 1 = Hardly Ever 2 = Occasionally 3 = Sometimes 4 = Fre	quentl	y 5	= Almo	st Alwa	ays			

The details on this page are of interest primarily to those who want to confirm scores reported on pages 1–3 or who want to determine if responses to some items were distributed in an unusual manner.

Converted Averages are reported only for relevant learning objectives (Important or Essential –see page 2) and other items for which comparisons were provided.

Notes:

Discipline code selected on FIF: 4510 Discipline code used for comparison: 4510

Key: 1 = Haidly Ever 2 = 00000101111117				1000					Convert	ed Avg.	Comparison Group Average		
									Raw	Adj.	IDEA	Discipline	Institution
	0	0	6	7	6	1	4.0	0.8	50	49	4.0	4.3	4.2
21. Gaining factual knowledge (terminology,	0	0	7	9	3	1	3.8	0.7	47	45	3.9	4.2	4.2
22. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or		0	7	8	4	1	3.8	0.8	NA	NA	4.0	4.2	4.2
23. Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking, problem	0	0.50	6	9	4	1	3.9	0.7	NA	NA	4.0	4.1	4.2
24. Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view	0	0		8	3	1	3.7	0.7	NA	NA	3.9	3.8	4.1
25. Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	0	0	8	200	4	1	3.5	1.1	NA	NA	3.9	3.7	3.9
6. Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing,	1	2	6	6		1	3.8	1.1	NA	NA	3.7	3.8	3.9
7. Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of	1	1	3	9	5	1	3.8	0.7	51	52	3.8	3.9	3.9
8. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	0	0	6	10	3	1	3.9	0.7	NA	NA	3.7	4.0	4.0
9. Learning how to find and use resources for answering questions	0	0	6	9	4		3.9	0.8	NA NA	NA	3.8	4.0	4.0
0. Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to,	0	0	6	8	5	1 1	100	0.8	NA NA	NA.	3.8	4.2	4.0
31. Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments,	0	0	5	6	8	1	4.2	0.8	NA NA	NA NA	3.8	4.0	4.0
Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my own	0	1	6	7	5	1	3.8	1		1		nt or Essential	L
(ey: 1 = No apparent progress 2 = Slight progress 3 = Moderate prog	ress 4	4 = Sul	stanti	al prog	ress 5	= Exc	eptional	progress	Bold	= Selected	as importar	it or good	
		_		_		T		T	Τ	1	3.2	3.7	3.3
33. Amount of reading	2	1	13	2	1	1	2.9	0.9	47	NA	3.4	3.3	3.5
34. Amount of work in other (non-reading) assignments	2	1	15	1	0	1	2.8	0.7	39	NA	\$600,000	3.6	3.5
35. Difficulty of subject matter	1	3	9	3	3	1	3.2	1.1	46	NA	3.4	3.6	0.5
Key: 1 = Much Less than Most 2 = Less than Most 3 = About Avo	erage	4 = 1	Nore th	an Mos	st 5	= Mucl	h More th	an Most	0				
key: 1 = Much 2003 than moot 2											T		1 00
and the transplant of the total of this course	1	1	9	7	1	1	3.3	0.9	NA	NA	3.7	3.6	3.9
36. I had a strong desire to take this course.	4	0	7	7	1	1	3.1	1.2	41	NA	3.6	3.6	3.8
37. I worked harder on this course than on most courses I have taken	3	3	7	5	1	1	2.9	1.1	NA	NA	3.4	3.6	3.6
38. I really wanted to take a course from this instructor.	2	1	7	7	2	1	3.3	1.1	50	NA	3.3	3.3	3.6
39. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	4	3	5	9	1	1	3.3	1.0	41	37	3.9	4.0	4.0
40. As a result of taking this course, I have more positive feelings		0	6	7	6	1	4.0	0.8	47	46	4.2	4.3	4.2
41. Overall, I rate this instructor an excellent teacher.	0	1	8	7	3	1	3.6	0.8	45	44	3.9	4.1	4.0
42. Overall, I rate this course as excellent.	0	1	8		4	1	3.7	1.0	53	NA	3.6	3.9	3.9
43. As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on	1	1	1 4	9		1 '	efinitely	1	1	L		*	S400 S400
Key: 1 = Definitely False 2 = More False than True 3 = In Between	en 4	1 = Mo	re True	than F	alse	5 = D	emilery	Tide					

No Additional Questions.

MILLER University of Rhode Island

Political Science & Government 6096 F 10:00 Fall 2014 Miller, David L.
PSC 113 R15
Course Number: 6096

Fall 2014



IDEA Diagnostic Form Report

To learn more, see the Interpretive Guide: www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticguide.pdf

Of the 20 students enrolled, 14 responded (70%). Feedback from individual classes is always useful to guide improvement efforts. Typically, multiple classes should be used for evaluation, using more classes when they are small (fewer than 10) or when they have low response rates (less than 60%) (see www.theideacenter.org/AdminDecisions).

Summary Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness

Teaching effectiveness is assessed in two ways: A. Progress on Relevant Objectives, a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted) and B. Overall Ratings, the average student agreement with statements that the teacher and the course were excellent. The SUMMARY EVALUATION is the average of these two measures. Individual institutions may prefer to combine these measures in some other manner to arrive at a summary judgment.

Converted Averages are standardized scores that take into account the fact that the average ratings for items on the IDEA form are not equal; students report more progress on some objectives than on others. Converted scores all have the same average (50) and the same variability (a standard deviation of 10); about 40% of them will be between 45 and 55. Because measures are not perfectly reliable, it is best to regard the "true score" as lying within plus or minus 3 of the reported score.

For comparative purposes, use converted averages. Your converted averages are compared with those from all classes in the IDEA database. If enough classes are available, comparisons are also made with classes in the same broad discipline as this class and/or with all classes that used IDEA at your institution. The Interpretive Guide offers some suggestions for using comparative results; some institutions may prefer to establish their own "standards" based on raw or adjusted scores rather than on comparative standing.

Both <u>unadjusted</u> (raw) and <u>adjusted</u> averages are reported. The latter makes classes more comparable by considering factors that influence student ratings, yet are beyond the instructor's control. Scores are adjusted to take into account student desire to take the course regardless of who taught it (item 39), student work habits (item 43), instructor reported class size, and two multiple item measures (student effort not attributable to the instructor and course difficulty not attributable to the instructor).

Your Average Scores

Z	Your Average (5-point scale)		
	Raw	Adj.	
A. Progress on Relevant Objectives The second of the second	4.1	4.3	
page 2)	l i		
Overall Ratings			
B. Excellent Teacher	4.1	4.1	
C. Excellent Course	3.7	3.8	
D. Average of B & C	3.9	3.9	

¹ If you are comparing Progress on Relevant Objectives from one instructor to another, use the converted average.

4.0

Summary Evaluation

(Average of A & D)

Your Converted Average When Compared to All Classes in the IDEA Database

	A Due			1	Overall	Ratings	3		Sum	mary
Comparison Category	on Re	gress levant ctives		cellent cher		cellent urse	D. Average of B & C		Evaluation (Average of A & D)	
	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.
Much Higher Highest 10% (63 or higher)										
Higher Next 20% (56–62)		57			P					
Similar Middle 40% (45–55)	53		48	49	47	48	48	49	51	53
Lower Next 20% (38–44)										
Much Lower Lowest 10% (37 or lower)										

Your Converted Average When Compared to Your:2

Discipline (IDEA Data)	48	53	45	47	43	45	44	46	46	50
Institution	50	57	48	51	45	50	47	51	49	54

IDEA Discipline used for comparison:

Political Science & Government

² The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

Student Ratings of Learning on Relevant (Important and Essential) Objectives

Average unadjusted (raw) and adjusted progress ratings are shown below for those objectives you identified as "Important" or "Essential." Progress on Relevant Objectives (also shown on page 1) is a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted). The percent of students rating each as "1" or "2" (either "no" or "slight" progress) and as "4" or "5" ("substantial" or "exceptional" progress) is also reported. These results should help you identify objectives where improvement efforts might best be focused. Page 3 contains suggestions about the types of changes you might consider to obtain more satisfactory results. Also, refer to the POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

	Importance Rating	Your A (5-point		Perce Student		
	9	Raw	Adj.	1 or 2	4 or 5	
21. Gaining factual knowledge (terminology, classifications, methods, trends)	Essential	4.1	4.2	7%	71%	
22. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or theories	Essential	4.2	4.4	0%	79%	
23. Learning to <i>apply</i> course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)	Minor/None					
24. Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course	Minor/None					
25. Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	Minor/None					
Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing, performing in art, music, drama, etc.)	Minor/None					
 Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.) 	Minor/None					
28. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	Minor/None					
29. Learning how to find and use resources for answering questions or solving problems	Minor/None					
30. Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to, personal values	Important	3.9	4.2	14%	64%	
31. Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view	Important	4.1	4.3	7%	79%	
32. Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my own questions and seeking answers	Minor/None					
Progress on Relevant Objectives		4.1	4.3			

¹ The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on
May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

			l Average V Troup Avera		
IDEA D	atabase	IDEA Dis			stitution1
Raw	Adjusted	Raw	Adjusted	Raw	Adjusted
51	54	45	50	47	54
Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
55	59	50	55	51	58
Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Higher
	- Control Control Control				
52 Similar	56 Higher	49 Similar	55 Similar	49 Similar	57 Higher
55	57	49	53	53	58
Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Higher
53	57	48	53	50	57

Higher = Next 20% (56–62) Similar = Middle 40% (45–55) Lower = Next 20% (38–44)

Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Description of Course and Students

Students described the course by rating three items related to "level of academic challenge." Results cannot be interpreted as "good" or "bad"; in general, these ratings have a slight positive relationship with measures of academic achievement. The three items describing your students relate to their academic motivation and work habits and are key factors in developing adjusted ratings.

Course Description	Your Average (5-point scale)
33. Amount of reading	2.6
34. Amount of work in other (non-reading) assignments	2.6
35. Difficulty of subject matter	3.0

Student	Description

37. I worked harder on this course than on most courses I have taken.	2.9
39. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	3.2
43. As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work.	3.4

Your Converted Average When Compared to Group Averages									
A Database	IDE	A Discipline	Your Institution						
Lower	31	Much Lower	40	Lower					
Much Lower	36	Much Lower	31	Much Lower					
Lower	37	Much Lower	40	Lower					
	A Database Lower Much Lower	Compared A Database IDE Lower 31 Much Lower 36	Compared to Group Ave A Database IDEA Discipline Lower 31 Much Lower Much Lower 36 Much Lower	Lower 31 Much Lower 40 Much Lower 36 Much Lower 31					

39	Lower	36	Much Lower	34	Much Lower
48	Similar	48	Similar	43	Lower
42	Lower	34	Much Lower	33	Much Lower

Much Higher = Highest 10% of classes (63 or higher)

Higher = Next 20% (56–62)

Similar = Middle 40% (45–55) Lower = Next 20% (38–44)

Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Improving Teaching Effectiveness

Teaching Methods and Styles

One way to improve teaching effectiveness is to make more use of the teaching methods closely related to learning on specific objectives.

- Peview page 2 to identify the objective(s) where improvements are most desirable.
- > Use the first column to answer the question, "Which of the 20 teaching methods are most related to learning on these objective(s)?"
- > Review the next two columns to answer the question, "How did students rate my use of these important methods?"
- > Read the last column to answer the question, "What changes should I consider in my teaching methods?"
- > Beyond specific methods, do the results suggest a general area (e.g., Stimulating Student Interest) where improvement efforts should be focused?

Suggested Actions are based on comparisons with ratings for classes of similar size and level of student motivation. Consider increasing use means you employed the method less frequently than those teaching similar classes. Retain current use or consider increasing means you employed the method with typical frequency. Strength to retain means you employed the method more frequently than those teaching similar classes. More detailed suggestions are in the Interpretive Guide (www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticquide.pdf), POD-IDEA Center Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea), and POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

Relevant to Objectives:

31

Not relevant to objectives

selected

Not relevant to objectives

selected

	(see page 2)	(5-point scale)	Students Rating 4 or 5	Suggested Action
Stimulating Student Interest 8. Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by most courses	All selected objectives	3.9	79%	Retain current use or
o. Sumulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by most courses	All selected objectives	5.9	7576	consider increasing
13. Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	All selected objectives	4.1	79%	Retain current use or consider increasing
15. Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really challenged them	All selected objectives	3.7	57%	Retain current use or consider increasing
Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	21, 22, 30	4.7	93%	Strength to retain
Fostering Student Collaboration 18. Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	30, 31	3.4	50%	Consider increasing use
16. Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others whose backgrounds and viewpoints differ from their own	30, 31	4.1	71%	Strength to retain
Formed "teams" or "discussion groups" to facilitate learning	Not relevant to objectives selected	3.4	57%	
Establishing Rapport				
2. Found ways to help students answer their own questions	All selected objectives	4.4	93%	Strength to retain

Encouragi	01	A I	- 1
Encouragi	na Stua	ent inv	nivement

performance

e-mails, etc.)

7. Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic

20. Encouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (office visits, phone calls,

1. Displayed a personal interest in students and their learning

19. Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or creative thinking	31	3.9	69%	Retain current use or consider increasing
11. Related course material to real life situations	30	4.6	100%	Strength to retain
 Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks, library holdings, outside experts) to improve understanding 	Not relevant to objectives selected	3.9	64%	
14. Involved students in "hands on" projects such as research, case studies, or "real life" activities	Not relevant to objectives selected	3.9	71%	

Structuring Classroom Experiences

12. Gave tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points of the course	21, 22
6. Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	21, 22, 30
10. Explained course material clearly and concisely	21, 22
Scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways which encouraged students to stay up-to-date in their work	Not relevant to objectives selected
 Provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports, projects, etc. to help students improve 	Not relevant to objectives selected

3.4	43%	Consider increasing use
4.6	100%	Strength to retain
4.5	93%	Strength to retain
4.4	93%	
4.3	86%	

Percent of

Students Rating

86%

93%

86%

Suggested Action

Strength to retain

Your Average

4.4

4.5

4.1

5-point Scale: 1 = Hardly Ever 2 = Occasionally 3 = Sometimes 4 = Frequently 5 = Almost Always

Statistical Detail	Number Responding							
	1	2	3	4	5	Omit	Avg.	s.d.
Displayed a personal interest in students and their learning	0	0	1	5	8	0	4.5	0.7
2. Found ways to help students answer their own questions	0	0	1	6	7	0	4.4	0.6
3. Scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways	0	0	1	6	7	0	4.4	0.6
4. Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	0	0	1	2	11	0	4.7	0.6
5. Formed "teams" or "discussion groups" to facilitate learning	1	3	2	5	3	0	3.4	1.3
6. Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	0	0	0	5	9	0	4.6	0.5
7. Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic	0	0	2	5	7	0	4.4	0.7
8. Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by	0	0	3	9	2	0	3.9	0.6
9. Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks,	0	0	5	5	4	0	3.9	8.0
10. Explained course material clearly and concisely	0	0	1	5	8	0	4.5	0.7
11. Related course material to real life situations	0	0	0	5	9	0	4.6	0.5
12. Gave tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points	1	2	5	3	3	0	3.4	1.2
13. Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	0	0	3	6	5	0	4.1	0.8
14. Involved students in "hands on" projects such as research, case	0	0	4	7	3	0	3.9	0.7
15. Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really	0	0	6	6	2	0	3.7	0.7
16. Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others	0	1	3	3	7	0	4.1	1.0
17. Provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports,	0	0	2	6	6	0	4.3	0.7
18. Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	0	3	4	5	2	0	3.4	1.0
19. Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or	0 0		4	6	3	1	3.9	0.8
20. Encouraged student–faculty interaction outside of class (office	0 1 1 7 5 0 4.1		0.9					
Key: 1 = Hardly Ever 2 = Occasionally 3 = Sometimes 4 = Free	quently	5 =	Almos	st Alwa	ys	0 65		

The details on this page are of interest primarily to those who want to confirm scores reported on pages 1–3 or who want to determine if responses to some items were distributed in an unusual manner.

Converted Averages are reported only for relevant learning objectives (Important or Essential –see page 2) and other items for which comparisons were provided.

Notes:

Discipline code selected on FIF: 4510 Discipline code used for comparison: 4510

Key: 1 = Hardly Ever 2 = Occasionally 3 = Sometimes 4 = Free	quently	5 =	Almos	st Alwa	ys								
									Convert	ted Avg.	Comparison Group Average		
1		0.9							Raw	Adj.	IDEA	Discipline	Institution
21. Gaining factual knowledge (terminology,	0	1	3	4	6	0	4.1	1.0	51	54	4.0	4.3	4.2
22. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or	0	0	3	5	6	0	4.2	0.8	55	59	3.9	4.2	4.2
23. Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking, problem	0	1	2	5	6	0	4.1	0.9	NA	NA	4.0	4.2	4.2
24. Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view	0	1	3	4	6	0	4.1	1.0	NA	NA	4.0	4.1	4.2
25. Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	1	3	5	4	1	0	3.1	1.1	NA	NA	3.9	3.8	4.1
26. Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing,	1	2	5	5	1	0	3.2	1.1	NA	NA	3.9	3.7	3.9
27. Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of	0	1	4	4	4	1	3.8	1.0	NA	NA	3.7	3.8	3.9
28. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	0	1	4	7	2	0	3.7	0.8	NA	NA	3.8	3.9	3.9
29. Learning how to find and use resources for answering questions	0	2	3	5	4	0	3.8	1.1	NA	NA	3.7	4.0	4.0
30. Developing a clearer understanding of, and	0	2	3	3	6	0	3.9	1.1	52	56	3.8	4.0	4.0
31. Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas,	0	1	2	5	6	0	4.1	0.9	55	57	3.8	4.2	4.0
32. Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my own	1	1	3	4	5	0	3.8	1.3	NA	NA	3.8	4.0	4.0
Key: 1 = No apparent progress 2 = Slight progress 3 = Moderate progress	ress	4 = Sub	stantia	al progi	ress 5	= Exc	eptional p	orogress	Bold :	= Selected	as Importan	t or Essential	
		,		,									
33. Amount of reading	4	1	6	2	1	0	2.6	1.3	42	NA	3.2	3.7	3.3
34. Amount of work in other (non-reading) assignments	3	3	6	1	1	0	2.6	1.2	36	NA	3.4	3.3	3.5
35. Difficulty of subject matter	3	1	5	3	2	0	3.0	1.4	43	NA	3.4	3.6	3.5
Key: 1 = Much Less than Most 2 = Less than Most 3 = About Ave	rage	4 = N	lore th	an Mos	t 5:	= Much	More tha	n Most	1				
36. I had a strong desire to take this course.	1	3	4	2	4	0	3.4	1.3	NA	NA	3.7	3.6	3.9
37. I worked harder on this course than on most courses I have taken.	2	2	6	3	1	0	2.9	1.1	39	NA	3.6	3.6	3.8
38. I really wanted to take a course from this instructor.	1	5	5	1	2	0	2.9	1.2	NA	NA	3.4	3.6	3.6
39. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	1	3	5	2	3	0	3.2	1.3	48	NA	3.3	3.3	3.6
40. As a result of taking this course, I have more positive feelings	1	1	1	7	4	0	3.9	1.2	50	53	3.9	4.0	4.0
41. Overall, I rate this instructor an excellent teacher.	1	1	1	4	7	0	4.1	1.3	48	49	4.2	4.3	4.2
42. Overall, I rate this course as excellent.	1	2	2	4	5	0	3.7	1.3	47	48	3.9	4.1	4.0
43. As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on	1	2	4	3	3	1	3.4	1.3	42	NA	3.6	3.9	3.9
Key: 1 = Definitely False 2 = More False than True 3 = In Betwee	n 4	= More	True	han Fa	ilse	, 5 = De	ı finitely Tr	ue			,		

No Additional Questions.